

Pharmaceutical and Telecommunications Sector Weak Form Market Efficiency Study in Indonesian Capital Market 2017-2020

Cheng-Wen Lee¹ and Taufiqquddin Ande^{2*}

Abstract

This study aims to examine the efficiency of the weak form market in the pharmaceutical and telecommunications sectors in the Indonesian capital market during the 2017-2020 period (1 January 2017 – 30 December 2020) and the 2020 Covid-19 period (1 January 2020 – 30 December 2020). The data used in this study is daily stock closing prices. Jarque-Bera normality test, Ljung Box autocorrelation test to assess serial dependencies, run test, and Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) to test the random walk hypothesis were among the statistical tests utilized. The pharmaceutical and telecommunications sectors were in poor shape during the research period, according to the findings. This research offers guidance to potential funders as well as future researchers.

JEL classification numbers: G14, G19.

Keywords: Efficient Market Hypothesis, Random Walk Hypothesis, Covid-19.

¹ Department of International Business, Chung Yuan Christian University, Taoyuan City, Taiwan.

² Ph.D. Program in Business, College of Business, Chung Yuan Christian University, Taoyuan City, Taiwan. Corresponding author.

1. Introduction

When the market receives information about stock securities, it has the potential to produce a new equilibrium price shift, and if the market reacts swiftly to this information and develops a new equilibrium price, the market is considered to be efficient. According to efficient market theory, market efficiency occurs when market prices accurately reflect all available information (E. F. Fama, 1970). Price movements in an efficient market cannot be forecast using historical stock prices, but they do follow a random walk that takes into account all of the available information. Based on the evidence available, Fama (1970) divides the Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH) into three categories: weak (weak form), semi strong (semi-strong form), and strong (strong form) (strong form). Past information has little effect on current pricing if the market is inefficient in a weak form and follows a random walk pattern.

Information about the Covid-19 pandemic, for example, can have an impact on market efficiency, causing a market reaction that leads to a global financial catastrophe. The stock price in the capital market has dropped as a result of this situation. The return on the Indonesian capital market in early 2020 fell from 9.7% in 2019 to -24.7 percent in 2020, down from 9.7% in 2019. (Emerging Markets Returns, 2020). Jain et al., (2013) conducted previous research on the weak form efficient market hypothesis, finding that the Indian capital market was weak form efficient during the global financial crisis of 2008.

Previous research in Indonesia has found that the Indonesian capital market is efficient in the weak form (Andrianto & Rishad Mirza, 2016; Yulianti & Jayanti, 2020). Meanwhile, Alia, (2018) conducted research on the efficiency of the weak form of efficient market in Indonesia during the crisis, testing the effectiveness of the weak form of market during the global financial crisis of 2008, according to the findings of his research, the Indonesian capital market was efficient in its weak form before the crisis and inefficient during the crisis. Surprisingly, there hasn't been much testing of the efficacy of the weak form of the market during the crisis, particularly during the Covid-19 outbreak.

On the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX), this study will evaluate the hypothesis of weak form market efficiency during the crisis caused by the Covid-19 pandemic, particularly in the pharmaceutical and telecommunications sectors, from 2017 to 2020. The pharmaceutical and telecommunications sectors have been suggested sectors during the Covid-19 epidemic due to a boost in sales of multivitamins and a surge (traffic) in the use of internet data. Based on this description, the researchers are interested in doing study to determine whether market efficiency in Indonesia is poor (weak form) or not over the stated period: "Pharmaceutical and Telecommunications Sector Weak Form Market Efficiency Study in Indonesian Capital Market 2017-2020

2. Literature Review

Previous study has focused on research that evaluates the efficiency of the weak form of the market during a crisis, particularly the global financial and economic crisis, and is related to the Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH) theory. Hamid et. al., (2017) used parametric and non-parametric research methods and applied statistical tests such as the Ljung-Box test, run test, and ADF test in his previous research on weak form market efficiency, which was titled "Weak Form Market Efficiency in the Capital Markets of Indonesia, Malaysia, and South Korea During the 2008 Global Economic Crisis Period." His findings reveal that it yields mixed evidence in the years leading up to, during, and after the global economic crisis.

Ndubuisi, P., & Okere, K. (2018) found that the Nigerian capital market is efficient in a weak form in all sectors except the financial sector, consumer goods, oil and gas, and Islamic equity, in his study "Weak-form Efficiency After Global Financial Crisis: Emerging Stock Market Evidence" on the Nigerian capital market in the post-global financial crisis period. Further research was conducted by Jain et al., (2013) with the title "A Study on Weak Form of Market Efficiency during the Period of Global Financial Crisis in the Form of Random Walk on Indian Capital Market," whose findings show that the Indian stock market is inefficient in a weak form during recessions. The study "Market Efficiency in G-20 Nations: The Paradox of Financial Crisis" conducted by Vieito et al. (2013) in G-20 countries using Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) concluded that the stock markets of G-20 countries were efficient in a weak form.

3. Data and Methodology

The following are the research objectives to be met: The pharmaceutical and telecommunications sectors on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) are used as research objects in this study. The pharmaceutical and telecommunications sectors were chosen for this study because they were the suggested sectors during the Covid-19 pandemic. Panel data, which is a blend of time series and cross section data, is used in this study.

This study relies on secondary data, specifically data from daily stock price closing data during a four-year period. Twelve firms were studied, including eight in the pharmaceutical industry and four in the telecommunications industry. The research period was then separated into two parts: the entire period from 2017 to 2020 (the entire period) and the Covid-19 pandemic phase (the entire time) (in 2020). The sample selection strategy used in this investigation is as follows.

3.1 Variable Research

The major variable in this analysis is stock returns from the pharmaceutical and telecoms industries. The most important step in this research is to gather information on the daily closing price (closing price) of all stock samples that will be used to calculate the return using the formula below (Khajar, 2008).

$$r_i(t,m) = \ln p_i(t,m) - \ln p_i(t-1,m) \quad (1)$$

3.2 Data Analysis Method

The serial correlation test is used in this study to determine whether there is a relationship between past and current values. This study uses data analysis methods such as normality test, autocorrelation test, and random walk hypothesis test using run test as a non-parametric statistical test to determine randomness or whether the stock market is efficient or inefficient in weak form to determine the efficiency of the weak form in the Indonesian capital market to determine the stationarity of the data utilized, and a parametric test utilizing Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) as a unit root test (unit root test) (Alia, 2018).

3.2.1 Normality Test (Jarque-Bera)

The normality test was used in this study to assess if the confounding variables (residual) in the regression model were normally distributed or not (Ghozali, 2018). The Jarque-Bera (J-B) statistical test is used to determine whether the residuals are normally distributed or not. The kurtosis and skewness values of the residuals are examined. The Jarque-Bera (J-B) test compares how far the asymmetry and kurtosis measures stray from the normal distribution values' features (Damodar, 2010).

$$JB = [n / 6] [S^2 + (K - 3)^2/4] \quad (2)$$

If a variable is normally distributed, $S = 0$ and $K = 3$, and the residuals are normally distributed, the Jarque-Bera statistic will be zero (Alia, 2018). The following is the rationale for making decisions on the Jarque-Bera test (J-B).

3.2.2 Autocorrelation Test (Ljung Box)

The autocorrelation test is used to see if there is a link between the confounding error in period t and the confounding error in period $t-1$ (prior) in a linear regression model (Ghozali, 2018, p. 111). An autocorrelation problem occurs when there is a correlation. The autocorrelation test is a test used to evaluate the Random Walk Hypothesis in various weak form market efficiency studies (Ndubuisi, P., & Okere, K. 2018). No autocorrelation or a random stock market imply a weak form of efficient stock market; nevertheless, if the stock market moves not randomly or there is autocorrelation, the stock market is inefficient in the weak form (Alia, 2018). The Ljung-Box statistical test is used to explain the autocorrelation test in this study. The Ljung-Box statistical test was performed to determine whether there was

autocorrelation with a lag of greater than two years (Ghozali, 2018, p. 119). If there is no correlation between the present price set and the lag value, the market is considered to be weak form efficient (Bajaj & Sethi, 2016).

3.2.3 Run Test

The run test is a non-parametric statistical test that determines if there is a high correlation between residuals or not; if there is no correlation, the residuals are said to be random (Ghozali, 2018, p. 121). Run tests are used to establish whether price movements are serial or random, and they are also ideal for evaluating the market's efficiency in a weak form (Jain et al., 2013). A run test is performed by comparing the number of observed runs (R) to the number of expected runs (m) using the equation below (Forejt et al., 2011).

$$m = \frac{N(N+1) - \sum_{i=1}^3 n_i^2}{N} \tag{3}$$

Where N denotes the number of observations (price or return change), and η_i denotes the number of price changes in each category (plus, minus, and no change). The expected number of runs (m) is normally distributed with a standard deviation for a large number of observations (N > 30) using the formula below.

$$\sigma_m = \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^3 n_i^2 [\sum_{i=1}^3 n_i^2 + N(N+1)] - 2N \sum_{i=1}^3 n_i^3 - N^3}{N^2(N-1)} \right] \tag{4}$$

The following equation can be used to compute the Z test for a run test.

$$Z = \frac{R - m \pm 0.5}{\sigma_m} \tag{5}$$

3.2.4 Unit Root Test

The random walk hypothesis must be tested using the stationarity test. The Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) test was employed in this investigation. The presence of a unit root in a time series of price changes was tested using the Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) test (Hamid et al., 2017).

4. Results and Discussion

Descriptive statistical testing is a type of data analysis that involves describing or characterizing the data collected. Descriptive statistical tests are used to provide a description of stock price changes over a given time period. The results of descriptive statistical tests of daily stock returns for the pharmaceutical and telecommunications sectors during the 2017-2020 timeframe, as well as the 2020 Covid-19 pandemic period, are shown in the table below.

Table 1: Descriptive test results

	Mean	Medium	SD	Skewness	Kurtosis	Jarque-Bera	Prob.	Observ.
Period 2017-2020								
DVLA	0.000	0.000	0.020	2.016140	29.315620	29888.510	0.000	1012
INAF	0.000	0.000	0.057	0.952794	9.527285	1949.648	0.000	1012
KAEF	0.000	-0.003	0.041	2.221042	14.011860	5945.215	0.000	1012
KLBF	0.000	0.000	0.021	0.414293	7.457393	866.732	0.000	1012
MERCK	-0.001	0.000	0.024	-0.214950	31.36846	33942.24	0.000	1012
PYFA	0.002	0.000	0.040	1.519125	11.19450	3220.721	0.000	1012
SIDO	0.001	0.000	0.019	0.705354	7.651528	996.2638	0.000	1012
TSPC	0.000	0.000	0.016	1.566654	19.89204	12445.850	0.000	1012
EXCL	0.000	0.000	0.050	0.780459	9.207609	1727.605	0.000	1012
FREN	0.000	0.000	0.049	0.913149	13.24172	4563.625	0.000	1012
ISAT	0.000	0.000	0.035	1.465505	12.30177	4010.633	0.000	1012
TLKM	0.000	0.000	0.019	0.446637	7.737291	979.9476	0.000	1012
Period of Covid 19 (2020)								
DVLA	0.000	0.000	0.028	2.808002	24.64874	5043.76	0.000	242
INAF	0.006	0.005	0.071	1.274595	5.380899	122.6845	0.000	242
KAEF	0.005	0.003	0.063	1.680395	7.091769	282.7112	0.000	242
KLBF	0.000	0.000	0.028	0.698561	6.394088	135.8405	0.000	242
MERCK	0.001	0.000	0.031	0.998682	9.007406	404.1237	0.000	242
PYFA	0.007	0.000	0.056	1.807997	7.934561	377.3719	0.000	242
SIDO	0.001	0.000	0.024	0.524483	6.219132	115.5867	0.000	242
TSPC	0.000	0.000	0.022	0.659106	8.893355	367.7322	0.000	242
EXCL	-0.001	-0.003	0.038	1.308816	8.331091	355.6646	0.000	242
FREN	-0.003	-0.010	0.054	1.538783	8.9665505	4544619	0.000	242
ISAT	0.002	-0.003	0.046	1.403672	7.463015	280.3162	0.000	242
TLKM	-0.001	-0.001	0.026	0.759825	6.166781	124.4065	0.000	242

Source: Results of data utilize EViews

In the period 2017-2020, the average daily stock return (mean) tends to be positive, as shown in Table 1. The pharmaceutical sector has a positive average daily stock return, with the exception of MERCK, which has a negative average daily return of -0.001. Meanwhile, the average daily stock return value in the telecoms sector is positive. The average daily stock return value of the pharmaceutical industry is positive in the Covid-19-time frame in 2020. Meanwhile, EXCL -0.001, FREN -0.003, and TLKM -0.001 are all negative figures in the telecommunications industry.

The Kurtosis value in table 4.1 is larger than the normal distribution, indicating that the pharmaceutical and telecommunications industries were leptokurtic during the study period.

4.1 Results of the Jarque-Bera Test for Normality

To evaluate if the distribution of return data is regularly distributed, a normality test was performed. If the stock return data is regularly distributed, it follows a random walk pattern, which means that the price will fluctuate randomly, making it impossible to anticipate (Alia,2018).

H₀ is rejected (stock returns are regularly distributed) if the probability value is less than 0.05, and H₀ is accepted if the probability value is more than 0.05. The Jarque-Bera Test residual normality test was used in conjunction with the E-views software. The following recapitulation includes the results of the Jarque-Bera normalcy test.

Table 2: Results of Jarque-Bera

	Jarque-Bera	Prob.	Decision
Period 2017-2020			
DVLA	29888.51	0.000	Stock returns are not normally distributed
INAF	1949.648	0.000	Stock returns are not normally distributed
KAEF	5945.215	0.000	Stock returns are not normally distributed
KLBF	866.732	0.000	Stock returns are not normally distributed
MERCK	33942.24	0.000	Stock returns are not normally distributed
PYTA	3220.721	0.000	Stock returns are not normally distributed
SIDO	996.2638	0.000	Stock returns are not normally distributed
TSPC	12445.85	0.000	Stock returns are not normally distributed
EXCL	1727.605	0.000	Stock returns are not normally distributed
FREN	4563.625	0.000	Stock returns are not normally distributed
ISAT	4010.633	0.000	Stock returns are not normally distributed
TLKM	979.9476	0.000	Stock returns are not normally distributed
Period of Covid 19(2020)			
DVLA	5043.76	0.000	Stock returns are not normally distributed
INAF	122.6845	0.000	Stock returns are not normally distributed
KAEF	282.7112	0.000	Stock returns are not normally distributed
KLBF	135.8405	0.000	Stock returns are not normally distributed
MERCK	404.1237	0.000	Stock returns are not normally distributed
PYTA	377.3719	0.000	Stock returns are not normally distributed
SIDO	115.5867	0.000	Stock returns are not normally distributed
TSPC	367.7322	0.000	Stock returns are not normally distributed
EXCL	355.6646	0.000	Stock returns are not normally distributed
FREN	454.4619	0.000	Stock returns are not normally distributed
ISAT	280.3162	0.000	Stock returns are not normally distributed
TLKM	124.4065	0.000	Stock returns are not normally distributed

Source: Results of data utilize EViews

Table 2 reveals that the stock return data in both the pharmaceutical and telecommunications industries is not regularly distributed in the 2017-2020 period and the 2020 Covid-19 period, based on the results of the tests conducted. In all eras, the Jarque-Bera test findings reveal a value larger than 5.991 (if $JB > 5.991$) in the pharmaceutical and telecommunications industries. Because the probability value is 0.05, H_0 is rejected, indicating that the residual data is not normally distributed. Because the return data is not regularly distributed, the movement of stock returns does not follow a random walk pattern, according to the test results. This test is in line with Alia (2018) findings, which show that stock return data is not regularly distributed. Returns will follow a random walk pattern if they are regularly distributed, making future prices impossible to predict based on past values (Prakash, 2014).

4.1.1 Results of the Autocorrelation Test (Ljung Box Test)

The serial autocorrelation test determines if historical returns are linearly related (Alia 2018). The autocorrelation test is used to evaluate the Random Walk Hypothesis in a variety of weak form market efficiency studies (Ndubuisi, P., & Okere, K. 2018). If the probability value is less than 0.05, then H_0 is rejected, indicating that there is an autocorrelation (inefficient market in weak form). Meanwhile, H_0 is accepted if the Ljung-Box test findings indicate a probability value of 0.05, indicating that there is no autocorrelation (weak form efficient market)

Table 3: Autocorrelation test results for 2020 Covid 19 period

Share	Lag	AC	PAC	Q-Stat	Prob.	Decision
Period 2017-2020						
DVLA	1	-0.239	-0.239	58.195	0.000	H0 rejected
	2	-0.008	-0.07	58.268	0.000	H0 rejected
	3	-0.081	-0.106	64.882	0.000	H0 rejected
INAF	1	0.202	0.202	41.531	0.000	H0 rejected
	2	0.012	0.03	41.682	0.000	H0 rejected
	3	-0.031	-0.029	42.69	0.000	H0 rejected
KAEF	1	0.184	0.184	34.188	0.000	H0 rejected
	2	-0.016	-0.051	34.434	0.000	H0 rejected
	3	0.033	0.047	35.559	0.000	H0 rejected
KLBF	1	-0.06	-0.06	3.5952	0.058	H0 not rejected
	2	0.001	-0.003	3.5959	0.166	H0 not rejected
	3	-0.018	0.018	3.9312	0.269	H0 not rejected
MERCK	1	0.147	0.147	21.82	0.000	H0 rejected
	2	-0.036	-0.059	23.157	0.000	H0 rejected
	3	0.158	0.177	48.544	0.000	H0 rejected
PYFA	1	-0.062	-0.062	3.9299	0.047	H0 rejected
	2	-0.018	-0.022	4.2722	0.118	H0 not rejected
	3	-0.035	-0.037	5.484	0.140	H0 not rejected
SIDO	1	-0.027	-0.027	0.7636	0.382	H0 not rejected
	2	-0.011	-0.012	0.8841	0.643	H0 not rejected
	3	0.015	0.015	1.1173	0.773	H0 not rejected
TSPC	1	-0.016	-0.016	0.2447	0.621	H0 not rejected
	2	-0.113	-0.114	13.293	0.001	H0 rejected
	3	-0.011	-0.015	13.409	0.004	H0 rejected
EXCL	1	0.015	0.015	0.2153	0.643	H0 not rejected
	2	-0.088	-0.089	81674	0.017	H0 rejected
	3	0.086	0.089	15.699	0.001	H0 rejected
FREN	1	-0.006	-0.006	0.0377	0.846	H0 not rejected
	2	0.056	0.056	3.245	0.197	H0 not rejected
	3	-0.014	-0.013	3.4416	0.328	H0 not rejected
ISAT	1	0.046	0.046	2.146	0.143	H0 not rejected
	2	0.048	0.046	4.5214	0.104	H0 not rejected
	3	0.121	0.117	19.436	0.000	H0 rejected
TKLM	1	-0.017	-0.017	0.3081	0.579	H0 not rejected
	2	-0.148	-0.148	22.418	0.000	H0 rejected
	3	0.053	0.059	25.297	0.000	H0 rejected

Period of Covid-19 (2020)						
DVLA	1	-0.296	-0.296	21.517	0.000	H0 rejected
	2	0.033	-0.06	21.792	0.000	H0 rejected
	3	-0.117	-0.137	25.183	0.000	H0 rejected
INAF	1	0.258	0.258	16.318	0.000	H0 rejected
	2	-0.014	-0.086	16.365	0.000	H0 rejected
	3	-0.037	-0.011	16.699	0.001	H0 rejected
KAEF	1	0.252	0.252	15.592	0.000	H0 rejected
	2	0.007	-0.06	15.606	0.000	H0 rejected
	3	0.095	0.116	17.823	0.000	H0 rejected
KLBF	1	0.004	0.004	0.0042	0.948	H0 not rejected
	2	0.016	0.016	0.0705	0.965	H0 not rejected
	3	0.044	0.044	0.5445	0.909	H0 not rejected
MERCK	1	0.256	0.256	16.078	0.000	H0 rejected
	2	0.037	-0.03	16.423	0.000	H0 rejected
	3	0.19	0.201	25.349	0.000	H0 rejected
PYFA	1	0.191	0.191	8.927	0.003	H0 rejected
	2	0.014	-0.024	8.9735	0.011	H0 rejected
	3	-0.056	-0.056	9.7494	0.021	H0 rejected
SIDO	1	-0.001	-0.001	0.0002	0.989	H0 not rejected
	2	-0.04	-0.04	0.3857	0.825	H0 not rejected
	3	0.044	0.044	0.8607	0.835	H0 not rejected
TSPC	1	0.073	0.073	1.2895	0.256	H0 not rejected
	2	-0.047	-0.053	1.8358	0.399	H0 not rejected
	3	-0.124	-0.118	5.6583	0.129	H0 not rejected
EXCL	1	-0.051	-0.051	0.6416	0.423	H0 not rejected
	2	-0.073	-0.076	1.9665	0.374	H0 not rejected
	3	0.311	0.305	25.835	0.000	H0 rejected
FREN	1	0.108	0.108	2.8332	0.092	H0 not rejected
	2	-0.07	-0.082	4.0217	0.134	H0 not rejected
	3	0.056	0.074	4.7977	0.187	H0 not rejected
ISAT	1	0.041	0.041	0.4197	0.517	H0 not rejected
	2	0.21	0.118	3.9518	0.139	H0 not rejected
	3	0.198	0.192	13.677	0.003	H0 rejected
TKLM	1	-0.005	0.005	0.0066	0.935	H0 not rejected
	2	-0.175	-0.175	7.5167	0.023	H0 rejected
	3	0.132	0.134	11.844	0.008	H0 rejected

Source: Results of data utilize EViews

In the 2017-2020 period, Table 3 illustrates the results of the Ljung Box autocorrelation test, with the majority of stocks at lags 1, 2, and 3 having a probability value of 0.05. Except for KLBF, PYFA, SIDO, and TSPC stocks, test findings in the pharmaceutical sector tend to reveal that H_0 is rejected 0.05 at lag 1, 2, and 3. At lags 1, 2, and 3, the test results on KLBF and SIDO stocks reveal a probability value of 0.05. At delays 2 and 3, PYFA has a probability value of 0.05. At lag 1, TSPC displays a probability value of 0.05.

In the telecommunications sector, the test results tend to show a probability value of 0.05, specifically H_0 is accepted especially in FREN stocks, whereas EXCL, ISAT, and TLKM have varied findings for each lag. H_0 is approved at lag 1 in EXCL and TLKM stocks. At lags 1 and 2, H_0 is approved in ISAT stocks, according to the likelihood value.

Table 3 also shows the results of the Ljung Box autocorrelation test in the Covid-19 era in 2020, which tend to yield probability outcomes of less than 0.05, indicating that H_0 is rejected. Except for KLBF, SIDO, and TSPC stocks, the pharmaceutical industry tends to display probability values of less than 0.05 or H_0 is rejected. Meanwhile, the telecommunications industry produces variable outcomes in each lag, but the probability value tends to indicate a value of 0.05 or H_0 , which is acceptable. The test results in FREN stock reveal that H_0 is approved at lags 1, 2, and 3. In the meantime, the stocks EXCL and ISAT show that H_0 is rejected at lags 1 and 2. At delays 2 and 3, TLKM demonstrates that H_0 is rejected.

There was no autocorrelation based on the findings of the Ljung Box autocorrelation test done in the 2017-2020 term and the 2020 Covid-19 period. Returns have no autocorrelation, indicating that the market is efficient in its current state. No autocorrelation or a random stock market imply a weak form of efficient stock market; nevertheless, if the stock market moves not randomly or there is autocorrelation, the stock market is inefficient in the weak form (Alia, 2018). The results of this autocorrelation test agree with those of Khajar (2008), who found no autocorrelation in stock returns, indicating that the market is efficient in a weak form.

4.1.2 Results of the Run Test

The run test is a non-parametric statistical test that determines if there is a high correlation between residuals or not; if there is no correlation, the residuals are said to be random (Ghozali, 2018).

The test criteria are that if the p-value is less than 0.05, H_0 is rejected, and if the p-value is greater than 0.05, H_0 is accepted. H_0 is rejected if the computed z value is less than or more than the crucial z value of 1.96, and H_0 is accepted if the calculated z value is between -1.96 and +1.96.

Table 4: Run test results for 2020 covid 19 period

	Test Value	Cases<Test Value	Cases>=Test Value	Total Cases	Number of Result	Z	Asymp.Sig (2 - tailed)
Period 2017-2020							
DVLA	0.000	291	721	1012	430	1.102	.270
INAF	0.000	504	508	1012	476	-1.949	.051
KAEF	-0.003	506	506	1012	492	-.944	.345
KLBF	0.000	423	589	1012	499	.363	.717
MERCK	0.000	386	626	1012	457	-1.436	.151
PYFA	0.000	341	671	1012	451	-.155	.877
SIDO	0.000	378	634	1012	482	.496	.620
TSPC	0.000	382	630	1012	500	1.565	.118
EXCL	0.000	462	550	1012	501	-.138	.890
FREN	0.000	354	658	1012	382	-5.486	.000
ISAT	0.000	478	534	1012	493	-.786	.432
TKLM	0.000	451	561	1012	503	.126	.900
Period of Covid-19 (2020)							
DVLA	0.00	95	147	242	130	1.836	.066
INAF	-.01	121	121	242	123	.129	.897
KAEF	.00	121	121	242	115	-.902	.367
KLBF	0.00	120	122	242	125	.388	.698
MERCK	0.00	113	129	242	121	-.061	.951
PYFA	0.00	103	139	242	105	-1.887	.059
SIDO	0.00	105	137	242	109	-1.427	.153
TSPC	0.00	108	134	242	128	.964	.335
EXCL	.00	121	121	242	116	-.773	.440
FREN	-.01	121	121	242	121	-.129	.897
ISAT	.00	121	121	242	133	1.417	.156
TKLM	.00	121	121	242	119	-.386	.699

Source: Results of data utilize SPSS

Except for FREN stocks, which have a probability value of 0.05, the results of the run test in the 2017-2020 period show a probability value of 0.05 and the critical value of z is at a significance level between -1.96 and +1.96, according to table 4. The findings of this run test reveal that the pharmaceutical sector has a random stock return pattern over the period 2017-2020, indicating that the market is efficient in a poor form. Meanwhile, with the exception of FREN stocks, the majority of stocks in the telecommunications sector have a random stock return pattern, making it a weak form of efficient market.

The run test results in the Covid-19 era in 2020 show a probability value of 0.05 and the crucial value of z is at a significance level between -1.96 and +1.96, according to table 4.8. During the 2020 Covid-19 pandemic, the results of this run test show a pattern of random stock returns in the pharmaceutical and telecommunications sectors, indicating that the market is efficient in a weak form. This study supports the findings of Andrianto & Rishad Mirza (2016), who found

that the Indonesian capital market is efficient in a weak form when run test testing is used. The results of the run test which reveal that prices fluctuate randomly, show that technical analysis alone cannot be used to predict future prices based on past prices (Alia, 2018).

4.1.3 Results of the Unit Root Test

The random walk hypothesis was tested using a stationarity test. The Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) test was employed in this investigation. The presence of a unit root in a time series of price changes was tested using the Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) test (Hamid et al., 2017). H0 is rejected if the return does not have a unit root if the probability value of augmented dickey fuller is less than 0.05. (stationary).

Table 5: ADF results test

Share	ADF t-statistic	Test Critical Value 5%	Prob.	?
Period 2017-2020				
DVLA	-22.771	-2.864196	0.000	0.05
INAF	-25.87433	-2.864196	0.000	0.05
KAEF	-16.9901	-2.864196	0.000	0.05
KLBF	-33.71387	-2.864196	0.000	0.05
MERCK	-15.10476	-2.864196	0.000	0.05
PYFA	-33.80612	-2.864196	0.000	0.05
SIDO	32.66739	-2.864196	0.000	0.05
TSPC	-25.36321	-2.864196	0.000	0.05
EXCL	-17.73271	-2.864196	0.000	0.05
FREN	-31.95508	-2.864196	0.000	0.05
ISAT	-15.45921	-2.864196	0.000	0.05
TKLM	-26.2404	-2.864196	0.000	0.05
Period of Covid-19 (2020)				
DVLA	-20.98836	-2.87339	0.000	0.05
INAF	-11.9117	-2.87339	0.000	0.05
KAEF	-8.569397	-2.87339	0.000	0.05
KLBF	-15.39439	-2.87339	0.000	0.05
MERCK	-11.90393	-2.87339	0.000	0.05
PYFA	-12.75246	-2.87339	0.000	0.05
SIDO	-15.47358	-2.87339	0.000	0.05
TSPC	-14.39281	-2.87339	0.000	0.05
EXCL	-6.880598	-2.87339	0.000	0.05
FREN	-13.85855	-2.87339	0.000	0.05
ISAT	-6.496807	-2.87339	0.000	0.05
TKLM	-12.99315	-2.87339	0.000	0.05

Source: Results of data utilize SPSS

The ADF test results in table 5 reveal that both the pharmaceutical and telecommunications sectors have unit root at the level in the 2017-2020 and 2020 Covid-19 periods. All stock returns are stagnant if the probability value is less than 0.05. The findings of this ADF test reveal a random stock pattern, indicating a weakly efficient market.

Table 6: ADF results at first difference

Share	ADF t-statistic	Test Critical Value 5%	Prob.	A
Period 2017-2020				
DVLA	-15.83796	-2.864236	0.000	0.05
INAF	-17.06724	-2.864236	0.000	0.05
KAEF	-16.86164	-2.864236	0.000	0.05
KLBF	-14.4111	-2.864236	0.000	0.05
MERCK	-14.79885	-2.864236	0.000	0.05
PYFA	-20.32022	-2.864236	0.000	0.05
SIDO	-15.4826	-2.864236	0.000	0.05
TSPC	-16.71478	-2.864236	0.000	0.05
EXCL	-16.30788	-2.864236	0.000	0.05
FREN	-16.81479	-2.864236	0.000	0.05
ISAT	-16.91819	-2.864236	0.000	0.05
TKLM	-14.64933	-2.864236	0.000	0.05
Period of Covid-19 (2020)				
DVLA	-11.78764	-2.873543	0.000	0.05
INAF	-10.06594	-2.873543	0.000	0.05
KAEF	-10.38835	-2.873543	0.000	0.05
KLBF	-14.00648	-2.873543	0.000	0.05
MERCK	-19.9552	-2.873543	0.000	0.05
PYFA	-10.64959	-2.873543	0.000	0.05
SIDO	-13.64546	-2.873543	0.000	0.05
TSPC	12.90227	-2.873543	0.000	0.05
EXCL	-13.63406	-2.873543	0.000	0.05
FREN	-12.92729	-2.873543	0.000	0.05
ISAT	-13.13022	-2.873543	0.000	0.05
TKLM	-13.51715	-2.873543	0.000	0.05

Source: Results of data Processing using SPSS

The ADF test results in table 6 reveal that both the pharmaceutical and telecommunications sectors have a unit root at the first difference level in the 2017-2020 and 2020 Covid-19 periods. All stock returns are stagnant if the probability value is less than 0.05. The findings of this ADF test reveal a random stock pattern, indicating a weakly efficient market.

The unit root test results indicate that the market was inefficient in a weak form

during the research period. The results of this test are consistent with those of Alia, (2018), who found that the ADF test results were stationary, indicating that the stock market was efficient in a weak form. A random walk pattern indicates that the historical price of each stock in the market cannot be utilized to predict future stock price.

4.2 Discussions

Based on the Ljung Box test, run test, and unit root test (ADF) autocorrelation test, the results of tests conducted in the pharmaceutical and telecommunications sectors for the period 2017-2020 reveal that the Indonesian capital market is inefficient in a weak form. Stock returns move randomly or in a random walk pattern, according on the test results. As a result of the test results, the hypothesis **H1 is accepted**.

Stock prices move randomly or follow a random walk pattern, according to the Ljung Box autocorrelation test, run test, and ADF done between 2017 and 2020. The findings of this study support the Efficient Market Hypothesis' weak form, in which the security price represents all historical/past information (past price changes) available in the market.

The random walk theory is connected to the weak form of market efficiency, in which previous information has little bearing on the current value or price in the capital market (Khajar, 2008, p. 150). Investors cannot predict when issuers will offer new information based on randomly produced data (Hamid et al., 2017). The findings of this study back up research by Alia, (2018) and Khajar (2008), who claim that the Indonesian capital market is efficient in its current state.

Based on the Ljung Box test, run test, and unit root test (ADF) autocorrelation test, the results of tests conducted in the pharmaceutical and telecommunications industries for the Covid-19 period in 2020 reveal that the Indonesian capital market is inefficient in a poor form. Stock returns move randomly or in a random walk pattern, according on the test results. As a result of the test results, the hypothesis **H2 is accepted**.

Because historical information has been absorbed in stock prices in the prior period, random stock returns suggest an efficient market in a weak form, where past prices cannot be utilized to predict current prices (Khajar, 2008). According to the findings of this study, stock price movements are random or follow a random walk pattern. The Random Walk hypothesis assumes that future price movements cannot be foreseen, hence price rises at one moment do not necessarily reflect future price increases or decreases (Lim, et al., 2008).

During the Covid-19 pandemic, the Indonesian capital market was severely impacted. Investors are hesitant to make decisions when stock prices fluctuate dramatically, so they tend to sell their shares. According to Town's research, the best moment to invest in stocks was during the Covid-19 outbreak since most people would sell their equities, causing stock prices to plummet.

5. Conclusions

Based on the findings of a study on weak form market efficiency in the Indonesian capital market (Study of Weak Form Market Efficiency in the Indonesian Capital Market: For the 2017-2020 timeframe and the Covid-19 period in 2017, it may be concluded that the Indonesian capital market is inefficient in a weak shape in the pharmaceutical and telecommunication sectors. 2020, based on the autocorrelation test results of the Ljung Box test, run test, and unit root test (ADF). For investors who want to make the most money on the stock market, information is crucial. According to the findings of the research, investors who wish to invest should use information to reduce losses and be cautious while investing because stock investments have a high risk/high reward ratio.

Future researchers who want to examine the efficiency of weak form markets should employ longer time periods to demonstrate that prior information has no effect on current prices and that prices move randomly.

References

- [1] Alia Tri Utami (2018). Efisiensi Pasar Bentuk Lemah Pada Pasar Modal Indonesia, Malaysia dan Korea Selatan Periode Krisis Ekonomi Global 2008. *Journal Inspirasi Bisnis dan Management* Vol2(2):101-116
- [2] Yanuar Andrianto and Adrian Rishad Mirza, (2016). A Testing of Efficient Markets Hypothesis in Indonesia Stock Market. *Procedia - Social and Behavioural Sciences* 219:99-103.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.04.048>.
- [3] Damodar N. G (2010). *Dasar-dasar Ekonometrika* in 1.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCES.2017.2777102>
- [4] *Emerging Markets Performance*, (2020) Retrieved from
<https://novelinvestor.com/emergingmarkets-performance/>
- [5] Eugene F. Fama (May, 1970). Efficient Capital Markets: A Review of Theory and Empirical Work. Vol. 25, No. 2, Papers and Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth Annual Meeting of the American Finance Association New York, N.Y. December, 28-30, 1969 (May, 1970), pp. 383-417 (35 pages).
<https://doi.org/10.2307/2325486>.
- [6] Ghozali, I. (2018). *Multivariate Analysis Application with IBM SPSS 25 Program*. Semarang: Diponegoro University
- [7] Hamid, K., Suleman, M. T., Ali Shah, S. Z., & Imdad Akash, R. S. (2017). Testing the Weak Form of Efficient Market Hypothesis: Empirical Evidence from Asia-Pacific Markets. *Ssrn*, 58(58). <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2912908>.
- [8] Jain, P., Vyas, V., & Roy, A. (2013). A study on weak form of market efficiency during the period of global financial crisis in the form of random walk on Indian capital market. *Journal of Advances in Management Research*, 10(1), 122–138. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09727981311327802>.

- [9] Joao Paulo Vieito, K.V Bhanu Murthy and Vanita Tripath (2013). Market Efficiency in G-20 countries: The Paradox of Financial Crisis. *Annals of Financial Economics* vol.8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S2010495213500036>
- [10] Khajar, I. (2008). Pengujian Efisiensi Dan Peningkatan Efisiensi Bentuk Lemah Bursa Efek Indonesia Pada Saat Dan Sesudah Krisis Moneter Pada Saham Saham LQ-45. *Jurnal Manajemen Teori Dan Terapan*, Tahun 1, No.3: 154, (3), 144–164.
- [11] Lim, K. P., Brooks, R. D., & Kim, J. H. (2008). Financial crisis and stock market efficiency: Empirical evidence from Asian countries. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 17(3), 571–591. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2007.03.001>
- [12] Ndubuisi, P., & Okere, K. (2018). Stock Returns Predictability and the Adaptive Market Hypothesis in Emerging Markets: Evidence from the Nigerian Capital Market. (1986-2016). *Asian Journal of Economic Modelling*, 6(2), 147–156. <https://doi.org/10.18488/journal.8.2018.62.147.156>
- [13] Prakash, S. (2014). Efficient Market Hypothesis: Examining the Case of South Asian Stock Markets. *Ssrn*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2463788>
- [14] V. Forejt, M. Kwiatkowska, G. Norman, D. Parker, Automated verification techniques for probabilistic systems, in: M. Bernardo, V. Issarny (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Formal Methods for Eternal Networked Software Systems (SFM)*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011, pp. 53–113. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-21455-4_3
- [15] Yulianti & Jayanti, (2020). Penerapan Model Garch Untuk Menguji Efisiensi Pasar Bentuk Lemah. *Sains Jurnal Manajemen dan Bisnis* 12(2):153. <https://doi.org/10.35448/jmb.v12i2.7235>.