

# Application on Inner Product Space with Fixed Point Theorem in Probabilistic

Rajesh Shrivastava<sup>1</sup>, B. Krishna Reddy<sup>2</sup>, K. Shashidhra Reddy<sup>3</sup>,  
M. Vijaya Kumar<sup>4</sup> and P. Devidas<sup>5</sup>

## Abstract

In this present paper we obtain a fixed point theorem in complete probabilistic - Inner product space. To study the existence and uniqueness of solution for linear valterra integral equation.

**Mathematics Subject Classification :** 46S50

**Keywords:** Fixed point, valterra Integral Equation Probabilistic Inner Product Space

## 1 Introduction

This paper is to obtain a new fixed point theorem in probabilistic  $\Delta$ -inner product space, where  $\Delta$  is a t-norm of h-type, to study the existence and

---

<sup>1</sup> e-mail: rajeshraja0101@redefmail.com

<sup>2</sup> e-mail: bkrbkr\_07@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup> e-mail: shashidharkotta9@yahoo.co.in

<sup>4</sup> e-mail: greengirl\_ias2001@yahoo.com

<sup>5</sup> e-mail: devidasjalpath@gmail.com

uniqueness of solution for linear Valterra integral equation in complete  $\Delta$ -PIP-space. Throughout this paper, let  $R = (-\infty, +\infty)$ ,  $R^+ = (0, +\infty)$ ,  $D$  denotes the set of all distribution functions.

## 2 Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** A mapping  $F : R \rightarrow R^+$  is called a distribution function if it is nondecreasing and left-continuous with  $\inf_{t \in R} F(t) = 0, \sup_{t \in R} F(t) = 1$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A probabilistic  $\Delta$ -inner product space (briefly, a  $\Delta$ -PIP-space) is a triplet  $(X, F, \Delta)$ , where  $X$  is a real linear space,  $\Delta$  is a  $t$ -norm and  $F$  is a mapping of  $X \times X \rightarrow D$  ( $F_{x,y}$  will denote the distribution function  $F(x, y)$  and  $F_{x,y}(t)$  will represent the value of  $F_{x,y}$  at  $t \in R$ ) satisfying the following conditions:

$$(PI - 1 - \Delta) f_{x,x}(0) = 0$$

$$(PI - 2 - \Delta) f_{x,y} = F_{y,x}$$

$$(PI - 3 - \Delta) f_{x,x} = H(t) \forall t > 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$$

where

$$H(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t \leq 0 \\ 1 & t > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(PI - 4 - \Delta)$$

$$F_{ax,y} = \begin{cases} f_{x,y}(\frac{t}{a}), & a > 0 \\ H(t), & a = 0 \\ 1 - F_{x,y}(\frac{t}{a}+), & a < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(PI - 5 - \Delta)$$

$$F_{x+y,z}(t) = \sup \Delta(F_{x,z}(s), F_{y,z}(s), F_{y,z}(r), t \in R, S + r = t, S \in R, r \in R,$$

**Definition 2.3.** A  $t$ -norm  $\Delta$  is  $h$ -type if the family of functions  $\{\Delta^m(t)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  is equi-continuous at  $t = 1$ , where

$$\Delta^1(t) = \Delta(t, t), \Delta^m(t) = \Delta(t, \Delta^{m-1}(t)), t \in [0, 1], m = 2, 3, \dots$$

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $(X, F, \Delta)$  be a  $\Delta$ -PIP-space.

1. A sequence  $x_n \subset X$  is said to converge to  $x \in X$  if  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \forall \alpha \in (0, 1], \exists N, \text{ when } n \geq N, F_{x_n-x, x_n-x}(\epsilon) > 1 - \alpha$ .
2. A sequence  $x_n \subset X$  is called a Cauchy sequence if  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \forall \alpha \in (0, 1], \exists N$ , when  $m, n \geq N, F_{x_n-x_m, x_n-x_m}(\epsilon) > 1 - \alpha$ .

### 3 Main Results

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $(X, F, \Delta)$  be a complete  $\Delta$  PIP space and  $\Delta$  be a  $t$ -norm of  $h$ -type. Let  $T : (X, F, \Delta) \rightarrow (X, F, \Delta)$  be a linear mapping satisfying the following condition.

$$F_{T_{x,y,z}}(t) \geq \frac{F_{xy} \left( \begin{matrix} t \\ k(\alpha, \beta) \end{matrix} \right) + F_{y,z} \left( \begin{matrix} t \\ k(\beta, \gamma) \end{matrix} \right)}{F_{x,y} \left( \begin{matrix} t \\ k(\alpha, \beta) \end{matrix} \right)} \tag{1}$$

For all  $x, y, z \in X, t \geq 0, \alpha, \gamma \in (0, +\infty)$  and  $k(\alpha, \gamma) : (0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, 1)$  is a function. Then  $T$  has exactly one fixed point  $x^* \in X$ . Further more for any  $x_0 \in X$  The iterative sequence  $\{T^n x_0\}$   $T$  converges to  $X^*$ .

*Proof.* Firstly we prove that any  $x_0 \in X$ . The sequence  $\{x_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$  is a  $\tau$ -cauchy sequence where

$$\{x_m\}_{m=0}^\infty = \{x_0, x_1 = Tx_0, \dots, x_m = T^m x_0, \dots\}$$

□

Let us consider

$$F_{T_{x,y,z}}(t) \geq \frac{F_{xy} \left( \begin{matrix} t \\ k(\alpha, \beta) \end{matrix} \right) + F_{y,z} \left( \begin{matrix} t \\ k(\beta, \gamma) \end{matrix} \right)}{F_{x,y} \left( \begin{matrix} t \\ k(\alpha, \beta) \end{matrix} \right)}$$

$$F_{xyz}(t) \geq \frac{F_{x,z} \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right)}{F_{xy} \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ k(\alpha, \beta) \end{array} \right)}$$

or

$$F_{x,z}(t) \geq \frac{F_{x,z} \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right)}{F_{xy} \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ k(\alpha, \beta) \end{array} \right)}$$

By  $(\Delta - \text{PI} - 5)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{x_0 - T^m x_0, z} \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right) &= F_{x_0 - T x_0 + T^m x_0, z} \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right) \\ &\geq \Delta \left( F_{x_0 - T x_0, z} \left( \begin{array}{c} t(1 - k(\alpha, \gamma)) \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right), F_{T x_0 - T^m x_0, z} \left( \begin{array}{c} t k(\alpha, \gamma) \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right) \right) \\ &\geq \Delta \left( F_{x_0 - T x_0, z} \left( \begin{array}{c} t(1 - k(\alpha, \gamma)) \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right), F_{T x_0 - T^{m-1} x_0, z} \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right) \right) \\ &= \Delta \left( F_{x_0 - T x_0, z} \left( \begin{array}{c} t(1 - k(\alpha, \gamma)) \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right), F_{T x_0 - T x_0 + T x_0 - T^{m-1} x_0, z} \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right) \right) \\ &\geq \Delta \left( F_{x_0 - T x_0, z} \left( \begin{array}{c} t(1 - k(\alpha, \gamma)) \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right), \Delta \left( F_{x_0 - T x_0, z} \left( \begin{array}{c} t(1 - k(\alpha, \gamma)) \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right), \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. F_{T x_0 - T^{m-1} x_0, z} \left( \begin{array}{c} t k \alpha, \beta \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right) \right) \right) \\ &\geq \Delta \left( F_{x_0 - T x_0, z} \left( \begin{array}{c} t(1 - k(\alpha, \beta)) \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right), \Delta \left( F_{x_0 - T x_0, y} \left( \begin{array}{c} t(1 - k(\alpha, \gamma)) \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right), \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. F_{T x_0 - T^{m-2} x_0, z} \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right) \right) \right) \\ &\geq \dots \geq \Delta \left( F_{x_0 - T x_0, z} \left( \begin{array}{c} t(1 - k(\alpha, \gamma)) \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right), \Delta \left( F_{x_0 - T x_0, y} \left( \begin{array}{c} t(1 - k(\alpha, \gamma)) \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right), \right. \right) \\ &\quad \left. \Delta \left( \dots, \Delta \left( F_{x_0 - T x_0, z} \left( \begin{array}{c} t(1 - k(\alpha, \gamma)) \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right), \left( F_{x_0 - T x_0, y} \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Because of  $k(\alpha, \gamma) \in (0, 1)$ , therefore we get

$$\frac{t(1 - k(\alpha, \gamma))}{k(\alpha, \gamma)} \leq \frac{t}{k(\alpha, \gamma)}$$

By the property of a distribution function, we have

$$F_{x_0 - Tx_0, z} \left( \frac{t}{k(\alpha, \gamma)} \right) \geq F_{x_0 - Tx_0, z} \left( \frac{t(1 - k(\alpha, \gamma))}{k(\alpha, \gamma)} \right)$$

By the property of t-norm, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{x_0 - T^m x_0, z} \left( \frac{t}{k(\alpha, \gamma)} \right) \\ & \geq \left( F_{x_0 - Tx_0, z} \left( \frac{t(1 - k(\alpha, \gamma))}{k(\alpha, \gamma)} \right), \Delta \left( F_{x_0 - Tx_0, z} \left( \frac{t(1 - k(\alpha, \gamma))}{k(\alpha, \gamma)} \right) \right) \right) \\ & \Delta (\dots, \Delta (F_{x_0 - Tx_0, z} \left( \frac{t(1 - k(\alpha, \gamma))}{k(\alpha, \gamma)} \right), F_{x_0 - Tx_0, z} \left( \frac{t(1 - k(\alpha, \gamma))}{k(\alpha, \gamma)} \right)) \dots) \\ & = \Delta^{m-1} \left( F_{x_0 - Tx_0, z} \left( \frac{t(1 - k(\alpha, \gamma))}{k(\alpha, \gamma)} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

So for any positive integer  $m, n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{T^n x_0 - T^{m+n} x_0, T^n x_0 - T^{m+n} x_0} \left( \frac{t}{k(\alpha, \gamma)} \right) \\ & \geq F_{x_0 - T^m x_0, T^n x_0 - T^{m+n} x_0} \left( \frac{t}{k^{n+1}(\alpha, \gamma)} \right) \\ & \geq F_{x_0 - T^m x_0, x_0 - T^m x_0} \left( \frac{t}{k^{2n+1}(\alpha, \gamma)} \right) \\ & \geq \Delta^{m-1} \left( F_{x_0 - T^m x_0, x_0 - T^m x_0} \left( \frac{t(1 - k(\alpha, \gamma))}{k^{2n+1}(\alpha, \gamma)} \right) \right) \\ & \geq \Delta^{m-1} \left( \Delta^{m-1} \left( F_{x_0 - Tx_0, x_0 - Tx_0} \left( \frac{t(1 - k(\alpha, \gamma))^2}{k^{2n+1}(\alpha, \gamma)} \right) \right) \right) \\ & \geq \Delta^{2m-2} \left( F_{x_0 - Tx_0, x_0 - Tx_0} \left( \frac{t(1 - k(\alpha, \gamma))^2}{k^{2n+1}(\alpha, \gamma)} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\Delta$  is a t-norm of h-type, the family of functions  $\Delta^m(t)_{m=1}^\infty$  is equicontinuous at  $t = 1$ , and the distribution function  $F$  is nondecreasing with

$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} F(t) = 1$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{1 \rightarrow \infty} F_{T^n x_0 - T^{m+n} x_0, T^n x_0 - T^{m+n} x_0} \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right) \\ & \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta^{2m-2} \left( F_{x_0 - T x_0, x_0 - T x_0} \left( \begin{array}{c} t(1 - k(\alpha, \gamma))^2 \\ k^{2n+1}(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

By  $(\Delta - P1-3)$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T^n x_0 - T^{n+m} x_0) = 0$ . so  $\{T^m x_0\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . By the completeness of  $X$ , let  $x_m \rightarrow x_* \in X (m \rightarrow \infty)$ .

Secondly, we prove that  $X_*$  is a fixed point of  $T$ . Because of

$$\begin{aligned} F_{x_i - T x_i, x_* - T x_*}(t) & \geq F_{x_{i-1} - T x_{i-1}, x_* - T x_*} \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right) \\ & \geq \dots \geq F_{x_0 - T x_0, x_* - T x_*} \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ k^i(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_i - T x_i, x_* - T x_*}(t) \geq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_0 - T x_0, x_* - T x_*} \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ k^i(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right) = 1$$

$$F_{x_* - T x_*, x_* - T x_*} \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right) \geq \Delta \left( F_{x_* - x_i, x_* - T x_*} \left( \begin{array}{c} t(1 - k(\alpha, \gamma)) \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right), F_{x_i - T x_i, x_* - T x_*}(t) \right)$$

Because  $x_i \rightarrow x_*$  (when  $i \rightarrow \infty$ ),  $\Delta(\dots)$  is equi-continous at (3.1) and  $F_{\theta, x_* - T x_*}(t) = 1$ , we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_* - T x_i, x_* - T x_*} \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right) = 1, \forall t > 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{x_* - T x_*, x_* - T x_*} \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right) \\ & \geq \Delta \left( F_{x_* - T x_i, x_* - T x_*} \left( \begin{array}{c} t(1 - k(\alpha, \gamma)) \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right), F_{T x_i - T x_*, x_* - T x_*}(t) \right) \\ & \geq \Delta \left( F_{x_* - T x_i, x_* - T x_*} \left( \begin{array}{c} t(1 - k(\alpha, \gamma)) \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right), F_{T x_i - x_*, x_* - T x_*} \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

So  $F_{x_*-Tx_*, x_*-Tx_*} \left( \begin{matrix} t \\ k(\alpha, \gamma) \end{matrix} \right) \rightarrow 1 (i \rightarrow \infty, \forall t > 0)$ . By  $(\Delta - PI - 3)$ , we have  $x_* = Tx_*$ .

If there exists a point  $y^* \in X$  such that  $y_* = Ty_*$ , then

$$F_{x_*-y_*, x_*-y_*} (t) = F_{Tx_*-Ty_*, Tx_*-Ty_*} (t) \geq F_{x_*-y_*, x_*-y_*} \left( \begin{matrix} t \\ k^2(\alpha, \gamma) \end{matrix} \right)$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$F_{x_*-y_*, x_*-y_*} (t) \geq F_{x_*-y_*, x_*-y_*} \left( \begin{matrix} t \\ k^2(\alpha, \gamma) \end{matrix} \right) \geq \dots \geq f_{x_*-y_*, x_*-y_*} \left( \begin{matrix} t \\ k^{2n}(\alpha, \gamma) \end{matrix} \right)$$

So  $F_{x_*-y_*, x_*-y_*} (t) \rightarrow 1 (n \rightarrow \infty, \forall t > 0)$ . By  $(\Delta - PI - 3)$ , we have  $x_* = y_*$ . Therefore  $x^*$  is the unique fixed point in  $X$ .

Finally, we prove that the sequence  $\{T_n x_0\}$  T-converges to  $x_*$  for any  $x_0 \in X$ . Because of

$$\begin{aligned} F_{x_*-T^n x_0, x_*-T^n x_0} (l) &= F_{Tx_*-T^n x_0, x_*-T^{n-1} x_0} (t) \\ &\geq F_{x_*-T^{n-1} x_0, x_*-T^{n-1} x_0} \left( \begin{matrix} t \\ k^2(\alpha, \gamma) \end{matrix} \right) \\ &= F_{Tx_*-T^{n-1} x_0, Tx_*-T^{n-1} x_0} \left( \begin{matrix} t \\ k^2(\alpha, \gamma) \end{matrix} \right) \\ &\geq F_{x_*-T^{n-2} x_0, x_*-T^{n-2} x_0} \left( \begin{matrix} t \\ k^4(\alpha, \gamma) \end{matrix} \right) \\ &\geq \dots \geq F_{x_*-x_0, x_*-x_0} \left( \begin{matrix} t \\ k^{2n}(\alpha, \gamma) \end{matrix} \right) \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_*-T^n x_0, x_*-T^n x_0} (t) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_*-x_0, x_*-x_0} \left( \begin{matrix} t \\ k^{2n}(\alpha, \gamma) \end{matrix} \right) = 1$$

$$\text{Similarly } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_* - T^n x_0 - T^n x_0}(t) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_* - x_0, x_* - x_0} \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ k^{2n}(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right) = 1$$

$$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_* - T^n x_0 x_0 - T^n x_0}(t) \geq \frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_* - x_0, x_* - x_0} \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ k^{2n}(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right)}{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_* - x_0, x_* - x_0} \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ k^{2n}(\alpha, \gamma) \end{array} \right)} = \frac{1}{1} = 1$$

$\therefore$  So  $T^n x_0 \rightarrow x_0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) This completes the proof.

## 4 Application

Theorem 3.1, we utilize this theorem to study the existence and uniqueness of solution of linear Valterra integral equation in complete  $\Delta$  - PIP - space. Let  $[a, b]$  be a fixed real interval. We define linear operation in  $L^2[a, b]$ ,

$$(x + y)(t) = x(t) + y(t), (\alpha x)(t) = \alpha x(t)$$

Then  $L^2[a, b]$  is a linear space. We lead inner product into

$$L^2[a, b], (x, y) = \int_a^c x(t) y(t) dt.$$

Hence  $(x, y)$  is a finite number,  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  satisfies all conditions of inner product and  $L^2[a, b]$  is a inner product space by  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Because  $L^2[a, b]$  is infinite dimension and completeness. Define a space  $(L^2[a, b], F, \Delta)$ , where

$$F : L^3[a, b, c] \times L^3[a, b, c] \rightarrow D, Fx, y, z(t) = H(t - -(x - y - z)).$$

Then  $(L^2[a, b], F, \Delta)$  is a  $\Delta$  - PIP - space. In fact, let  $\{x_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(L^2[a, b], F, \Delta)$ . Then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\exists N$ , when  $m, n \geq N$ , we have  $F_{x_m - x_n, x_m - x_n}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda$  because of

$$F_{x_m - x_n, x_m - x_n}(\epsilon) = H(\epsilon - (x_m - x_n, x_m - x_n) \phi(\mu))$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_m - x_n &= H \left( \epsilon - \int_a^c (x_m - x_n)(t) (x_m - x_n)(t) dt \phi(\mu) \right) \\ &= H \left( \epsilon - \int_a^b [(x_m - x_n)(t)]^2 dt \phi(\mu) \right) > 1 - \lambda, \end{aligned}$$



We have

$$\int_a^c [(x_m - x_n)(t)]^2 dt \rightarrow 0,$$

And then  $x_m - x_n \rightarrow 0$ , So  $x_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^2[a, b]$ . By the completeness of  $L^2[a, b]$  we have  $x_n \rightarrow x_* \in L^2[a, b]$ . Hence  $x_* \in L^2[a, b], F, \Delta$ . So  $(L^2[a, b], F, \Delta)$  is a complete  $\Delta$  - PIP - space.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $(L^2[a, b], F, \Delta)$  be a complete  $\Delta$  - PIP - space. Then the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$i) \int_a^t (x(s) - z(s), t) ds \leq x(t) - z(t), \forall x(\cdot) \in L^2[a, b]$$

ii) *Let  $T$  be a linear mapping and defined as follows*

$$(Tx)(t) = f(t) + \int_a^t k(t, s)x(s) ds$$

Where  $f \in L^2[a, b]$  is a given function  $k(t, s)$  is a continuous function defined on  $a \leq t \leq b \leq c$ ,  $a \leq s \leq t$ ,  $\lambda$  is a constant, we denote

$$\max_{a \leq t \leq b, a \leq s \leq t} k(t, s) = M.$$

Then when  $\lambda M \in (0, 1)$ ,  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $L^2[a, b]$ . Furthermore, for any  $x_0 \in L^2[a, b]$ , the iterative sequence  $\{T^n x_0\}$   $T$  - converges to the fixed point.

## References

- [1] Jong Kyu Kim and Byoung Jae Jin, Differential equation on closed subsets of a probabilistic normed space, *J. Appl. Math. & Computing*, **5**, (1998), 223-235.
- [2] Ion Iancu, A method for constructing t-norms, *J. Appl. Math. & Computing*, **5**(5), (1985), 407-415.
- [3] R. Subramanian and K. Balachandran, Controllability of Stochastic Volterra integro differential systems, *J. Appl. Math. & Computing*, **9**, (2002), 583-591.
- [4] S.S. Chang, B. S. Lee and Y.J. Cho, Generalized contraction mapping principle and differential equations in probabilistic metric spaces, *Proceedings of the American mathematical society*, **124**, (1996), 2367-2376.
- [5] Claudi Alsina, Berthold Schweizer and Abe Sklar, Continuity properties of probabilistic norms, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **208**(208), (1997), 446-452.
- [6] E. Pap, O. Hadzic and R. Mesiar, A fixed point theorem in probabilistic metric, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **202**, (1996), 433-449.
- [7] R.M. Tardiff, Topologies for probabilistic metric spaces, *Pacific J. Math*, **65**, (1985), 233-251.
- [8] Zhu Chuan-xi, some new fixed point theorems in probabilistic metric spaces, *J. Appl. Math. Mech.*, **16**, (1995), 179-185.
- [9] Zhu Chuan-xi, Some theorems in the X-M-PN spaces, *J. Appl. Math. Mech.*, **21**, (2000), 181 - 184.
- [10] Huang Xiao-qin and Zhu chuan-xi, Existence and uniqueness problems of solutions for setvalued nonlinear operator equation in PN-spaces, *Acta Analysis Functionalis Applicata*, **4**, (2002), 22-225 (in Chinese).